

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,493	01/12/2006	Zenton Goh	4276-101	9011	
23448 INTELLECTI	7590 05/12/200 JAL PROPERTY / TEO	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 1432	9	RAJAN, KAI			
RESEARCH	RIANGLE PARK, NC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3769		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,493	GOH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kai Rajan	3769		

	Kai Rajan	3769	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following papilication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-other by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 \(\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t. (a) \(\) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) \(\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) \(\) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. \(\bar{\times} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\bar{\times} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Michael C. Astorino/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3769	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 30, and 47 contain amendments that require further consideration and search. In particular, "body temperature" was not previously claimed in the independent or dependent claims. Remarks regarding independent claim 54 are presented below.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that, regarding independent claim 54, the applied prior art fails to disclose "the physiological parameter measuring device is adapted to be attached to the first person such that it is capable of measuring a physiological parameter when in contact with the abdomen of said person." The Examiner respectully disagrees. It is submitted that the language "adapted fo" and "capable of" are rectations of intended use, or functional language. As such, if the applied prior art teaches structure that is capable of performing the claimed function, then it meets the claim. In the instant case, at least the EKG sensors of Carlson et al. are attached to an individual via suction cups (Carlson et al. paragraph 0012). These sensors are capable of being attached to the abdomen of the individual and measuring signals from the abdomen. Furthernoc, Carlson et al. teaches in paragraph 0011 that the sensors used in the system "can be disposed on any other body site, on which the . . . listed medical factors can be measured or determined. Therefore, the applied prior art is sufficient to reject the claims as presented.